

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/002,713	ICHIRIU ET AL.
	Examiner JAMES C. KERVEROS	Art Unit 2133

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) JAMES C. KERVEROS.

(3) _____

(2) Charles E. Shemwell.

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 15 July 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1-61

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

James C. Kerveros

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

During a follow up interview on July 15, 2005, Mr. Shemwell suggested to rejoin the method claims 23-38 previously withdrawn by substituting with new claims 62-76 including the allowable subject matter of "incrementally adjusting the first address value if the first address value and the second address value do not have the predetermined relationship", as recited in the independent claim 62. The Examiner agreed to implement the claims amendment using an Examiner's Amendment.